arXiv:cond-mat/0303186v2 [cond-mat.supr-con] 8 Jun 2003

On the detection of time-reversal symmetry breaking by ARPES with circularly polarized light in Bi2212

N.P. Armitage and Jiangping Hu
Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of California, Los Angeles, CA 90095

We argue that that in their recent experiment in which they claim to have found evidence for a time-reversal symmetry broken state, Kaminski *et al.* overlooked small temperature dependent changes in the superstructure of Bi2212. These subtle changes may manifest themselves by changing the final state configurations of the photoemission process and thus invalidate their ultimate conclusions.

Kaminski *et al.* [1] recently reported the results of an experiment in which they found a small but significant asymmetry (≈3%) in the photoemission intensity of the high-T_c superconductor $Bi_2Sr_2CaCu_2O_{8+\delta}$ (Bi2212) below its pseudogap temperature T^* when using light of different helicities. This was interpreted as indicative of a hidden time reversal symmetry (TRS) breaking phase in the underdoped regime. However, as there is ample evidence for low temperature structural changes in the underdoped regime of Bi2212, we feel that Kaminski *et al.* are incorrect to infer the existence of a TRS breaking state from their experiment.

The Kaminski *et al.* experiment is not directly sensitive to TRS and is, in fact, a probe of reflection symmetry (RS). Although this point is made in the theoretical proposal [2] and implicit in the experiment, it is worth making explicit. It can be easily seen by considering the photoemission optical matrix element

$$M^r(\vec{k}) = \sum_{fi} | < \psi_f | \hat{\Delta}^r | \psi_i > |^2,$$

where $\hat{\Delta}^{r(l)}$ is the dipole operator for right (left) circularly polarized light and $|\psi_i>$ and $|\psi_f>$ are, for illustration purposes, one electron states. With a reflection symmetry operation $\hat{R}$, the terms of the above matrix element equal $| < \psi_f | \hat{R}^{-1} \hat{R} \hat{\Delta}^r \hat{R}^{-1} \hat{R} | \psi_i > |^2$. If states $|\psi_i>$ and $|\psi_f>$ are eigenstates of reflection, this quantity is proportional to $< \psi_f | \hat{\Delta}^l | \psi_i >$. The associated matrix element can be defined as M^l and therefore the dichroism quantity probed by Kaminski *et al.* $D = M^r - M^l$ is sensitive to reflection. However, because a crystal's point group and reflection symmetries are only preserved if all magnetic moments from orbital currents or spin are confined to the irreducible unit cell, all proposed TRS breaking states for the cuprate superconductors break RS across at least one mirror plane. In this regard, RS breaking is a necessary, but not sufficient condition for TRS breaking. In order for it to be a sufficient there can be no structural features that break RS. A system that has a dichroism photoemission signal across a certain direction and no structural aspects that break RS across that direction may be a candidate for a TRS breaking state, but a state that breaks RS alone can also give such an effect.

Although the $\Gamma \leftrightarrow (\pi, 0)$ direction that Kaminski *et al.* use as a mirror plane in their experiment is superficially a mirror plane of an idealized CuO_2 square lattice, RS is broken across this direction in Bi2212 by the well known $\hat{b}$ direction [$\Gamma \leftrightarrow (\pi, \pi)$] incommensurate superstructure modulation, which then ceases to be a mirror plane [3,11]. In principle, a supermodulation at 45° to the $\Gamma \leftrightarrow (\pi, 0)$ plane would give a maximum dichroism signal at $(\pi, 0)$. As evidenced by the experiment however, whatever the effects of the superstructure are, they apparently do not cause an appreciable dichroism at high-temperatures. The conclusion that the low temperatures effect is not caused by the superstructure and instead by a TRS breaking state is only reasonable if there are no changes in the modulation as a function of temperature and doping. If there are such changes, it would seriously undermine the claim that that TRS breaking could be inferred from RS breaking. Monitoring only a single main Bragg peak *via* x-rays, as done by Kaminski *et al.*, is likely to be a very incomplete measure of temperature dependent changes for a complicated oxygen-rich incommensurate crystal like Bi2212.

We should note that although Kaminski *et al.* argued from their x-ray diffraction and the fact that their observed dispersion is symmetric around $(\pi, 0)$ that any temperature dependent changes in the crystal structure were much smaller than that necessary to cause a macroscopic shift of the mirror plane of the near E_F electronic states, this point is irrelevant. Because $(\pi, 0)$ is not in a true mirror plane of the Bi2212 crystal, any changes in the structure do not have to be such as to influence the spectral function $A(\vec{k}, \omega)$ of the near E_F states greatly (of which the dispersion is indicative of) greatly; the purported measurement is of the photoemission optical matrix element $M^{r,l}$ which will be sensitive to subtle changes in the hybridization of the final state configuration $|\psi_f>$.

There are a number of studies that do, in fact, suggest low temperature structural changes of the incommensurate modulation for underdoped samples. For instance, Anderson *et al.* in a series of careful ultrasonic measurements found a sharp internal friction loss peak, indicative of a bulk structural change, at 167 K [5] in only oxygen deficient (underdoped) Bi2212 samples. Miles *et al.* in a detailed Rietveld refinement of neutron diffrac-

tion data found a sharp discontinuity in the $\hat{b}$ axis lattice parameter as well as the superstructure period for underdoped Bi2212 crystals around 160 K [6]. Although the only comprehensive temperature dependent x-ray study of the incommensurate structure found no such strong anomalies (perhaps due to the weak oxygen sensitivity of x-rays, which makes them a poor probe for this type of system), it did find a suppression of the satellite intensities around $\sim$130 K [7]. The doping and temperature dependence of these structural changes mimic that of T^*.

Generally speaking, strong temperature dependent interlayer stresses arise in such low dimensional incommensurate structures due to the differences in expansivities of intralayer bonds [8]. Such stresses may be relieved by the vacancies in oxygen deficient Bi-O layers through subtle reconstructions [9]. As judged from the above experiments which see changes in the 130-160 K range, such effects may mimic a doping dependence which correlates with T^*. Because the changes found in Bi2212 violate the structural symmetry condition necessary to infer a TRS breaking state from RS breaking, we feel that Kaminski *et al.* cannot reasonably deduce the existence of an exotic TRS violating state from their experiment.

---

[1] A. Kaminski *et al.*, Nature **416**, 610 (2002).
[2] M.E. Simon and C.M. Varma, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 247003 (2002).
[3] Y. Gao *et al.*, Science **241**, 954 (1988).
[4] M.D. Kirk *et al.*, Science **242**, 1673(1988).
[5] A.R. Anderson *et al.*, Physica C **281**, 356 (1997); A.R. Anderson *et al.*, Supercond. Sci. Technol. **5**, 258 (1992).
[6] P.A. Miles *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **55**, 14632 (1997).
[7] S.T. Johnson and P.D. Hatton, Sol. St. Comm. **94**, 261 (1995).
[8] P. Bak, Rep. Prog. Phys. **45**, 587 (1982).
[9] Such stresses can also be relieved at the surface. As ARPES is an eminently surface sensitive probe, the surface structural symmetry needs to be considered separately. Although LEED studies have claimed that the surface structure is commensurate with the bulk, analysis was not performed at the requisite level of detail to allow strong statements about subtle differences [10]. In fact, STM routinely shows [11–13] a strong additional surface modulation of the bismuth atoms at approximately the superstructure periodicity of which no corresponding feature has been observed in bulk sensitive x-ray diffraction. The differences between surface and bulk structure means that this issue needs to be investigated in more detail before one can rule out doping dependent low temperature surface reconstructions.
[10] P.A.P. Lindberg *et al.*, App. Phys. Lett. **53**, 2563 (1988); R. Claessen, Phys. Rev. B **39**, 7316 (1989).
[11] M.D. Kirk *et al.*, Science **242**, 1673(1988).
[12] A. Inoue *et al.*, Physica C **233**, 49 (1996).
[13] S.H. Pan *et al.*, App. Phys. Lett. **73**, 58 (1998).